XIX Congresso nazionale Associazione Italiana di Valutazione

22 aprile 2016

## ABSORPTION CAPACITY OF COHESION POLICY FUNDS BY TUSCAN MUNICIPALITIES

Silvia Duranti, Patrizia Lattarulo, Letizia Ravagli



IRPET - Istituto Regionale per la Programmazione Economica della Toscana



- In the last years municipalities are faced by more responsabilities with less resources
- Cohesion Policy Funds could play a major role in the financing of municipal investment expenditures
- In Tuscany municipalities are highly represented among implementing bodies



### **Regional heterogeneity**

% structural funds to municipalities as implementing bodies "Regional competitiveness and employement objective" 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% 0% Marche Trento Umbria Total Sardegna Abruzzo Molise Bolzano Liguria Lazio Veneto Toscana Lombardia Piemonte Friuli Venezia Giulia Valle d'Aosta Emilia Romagna

Source: Fondazione IFEL



### Aims

- But, there is a strong heterogeneity among municipalities in how they access to Cohesion Policy Funds
- Our aim is to analyse the determinants of participation of Tuscan municipalities to Cohesion Policy Funds → to explain differences in their absorption capacity:
  - In terms both of access and of number of funded projects
     Focusing on "demand" factors, given "supply" factors



### Main features of data

- Content: information on each project funded in the programming period 2007 2013 in Tuscany
- **Programs**: FAS, FESR, FSE and IT-FR  $\rightarrow$  no information on FEASR
- > **Update:** projects funded until 31 december 2013
- ➤ Implementing bodies: Tuscan municipalities, not unions or other aggregations → about 950 projects for 890 million euros
- Source: Region of Tuscany



# Intensity and projects by geographical distribution



Almost 80% with at least 1 project, but few with more than 5 projects
Higher concentration on urban and remote areas



### Projects by program



Average financing (Keuro)





### **Projects by priorities**



#### % projects

#### Average financing (Keuro)

Priority	Average financing
Mobility	12,482
Natural and cultural resources	1,107
Urban systems	1,102
Energy and environment	682
Human resources	373
Productive systems/employment	226
Social inclusion	167

Widespread with low financing → productive systems/employment
 Concentrated with high financing → mobility



# Geographical distribution of projects by priority and municipal characteristics

### Large and not mountain municipalities





# Geographical distribution of projects by priority and municipal characteristics



Natural and cultural resources



## Absorption capacity: the determinants in literature

- Only scanty and recent literature. Often qualititative approaches, (Soutaris and Zerbinati, 2004, Anci Toscana, 2010) and on Eastern european countries (Tatar, 2010, Lorvi, 2013). Few quantitatives approaches (Veiga, 2012).
- Usually absorption capacity depends on:

Administrative capacity  $\rightarrow$  e.g. availability of human resources, competence of internal or external resources Financial aspects  $\rightarrow$  e.g. budget constraints, financial problems, availability of financial resources for co-financing Political factors  $\rightarrow$  e.g. political cycle, political party Experience  $\rightarrow$  e.g. past experience in EU funds



### A model to predict absorption capacity for Tuscan municipalities

• Absorption capacity: number of projects financed for each municipality  $\rightarrow Y_i$  is a "count variable" with only non negative values 0, 1, 2, ...

• Usually  $Y_i \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ , but  $E(Y_i) = VAR(Y_i) = \lambda$  and no excessive presence of zeros

• Hurdle model with 2 processes:

> One that generates zeros  $\rightarrow$  logit model to predict the probability to have at least one project with respect to zero

> One for positive values  $\rightarrow$  negative binomial model to predict the count variable truncated at zero



### **Covariates considered**

GEO-DEMOGRAPHY	EXPECTED SIGN	FINANCIAL ASPECTS	EXPECTED SIGN
Population	+	Revenue p.c. in previous period	+
Capital municipality	+	Operational deficit in current period	-
Demographic density	+	Internal Stability Pact objective p.c.	-
Municipalities participating in inter-		EXPERIENCE	
municipal projects	+	% propensity to invest in 2000-2006	+
Geographical area	It depends	Years with EU financing in 2000-2006	+
HUMAN RESOURCES		POLITICAL FACTORS AND MAJOR'S	

Employees endowment	+
% graduated empolyees	+
Average age of employees	Uncertain
% external staff	+

### CHARACIERISTICS

Number of government changes	-
Political party	It depends
Major's education	+
Major's age	Uncertain



### Logit for the probability to access

	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf	. Interval]
Demographic density	0.005	0.002	2.920	0.003	0.002	0.008
Geographical area						
Firenze-Prato-Pistoia	1.212	0.492	2.460	0.014	0.246	2.177
Lucca-Massa Carrara	3.714	1.066	3.480	0.000	1.624	5.804
Grosseto-Siena-Arezzo	0.763	0.402	1.900	0.058	-0.025	1.550
Propensity to invest. 00-06	0.840	0.532	1.580	0.114	-0.202	1.883
N° years EU fin. 00-06	0.315	0.134	2.350	0.019	0.052	0.577
Constant	-1.305	0.594	-2.200	0.028	-2.470	-0.140



### Negative binomial for the number of projects

	Regression 1	Regression 2
Capital municipality	0.814*	0.660*
Geographical area		
Firenze-Prato-Pistoia	0.263***	0.147
Lucca-Massa Carrara	0.553*	0.536*
Grosseto-Siena-Arezzo	0.143	0.203
% graduated employees	1.192***	1.371***
log (employees)	0.336*	0.433*
Revenue p.c.		
1.000 -1.300 euro	0.184***	0.199
> 1.300 euro	0.148	0.248***
Operational deficit 08-11	-0.196***	-0.153
Internal Stability Pact objective p.c.		-1.945*
N° government changes		
1	0.006	0.04
2	-0.263***	-0.257
Political party		
Lista civica	-0.021	-0.073
Centro-destra	-0.324***	-0.304***
Constant	-0.714*	-0.876**

Significance levels: \*10% \*\*5% \*\*\*1%



### Conclusions

#### Key findings

- The probability to access depends on dimensional-geographical aspects and on the experience
- Human resources, financial and political aspects affect the number of funded projects
- Results are in line with literature (Soutaris and Zerbinati, 2004, Anci Toscana, 2010, Tatar, 2010, Lorvi, 2013, Veiga, 2012)

#### **Main policy implications**

- More investment in training and human resources needed → opportunities from inter-municipal projects
- Less stringent budget constraints and not any co-financing in Internal Stability Pact

